



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.           | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/673,692   | 09/29/2003  | Kyle K. Kirby        | 2269-5665US<br>(02-1291.00/U) | 4168             |
| 24247  | 7590        | 09/22/2005           |                               | EXAMINER         |
| TRASK BRITT<br>P.O. BOX 2550<br>SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 |             |                      | ESTRADA, MICHELLE             |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                      | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2823                          |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/673,692             | KIRBY, KYLE K.      |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | Michelle Estrada       | 2823                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2005.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-27 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,3-18 and 20-27 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-6, 11-16 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wood et al. (6,773,938).

Re claim 1, Wood et al. disclose providing a semiconductor substrate (200); ablating one or more depressions (210) in a surface of the semiconductor substrate to define the at least one electrical pathway extending along the surface (See fig. 5); depositing an electrically conductive material (220) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate and into the one or more depressions (Fig. 7); and planarizing the electrically conductive material at least to the surface of the semiconductor substrate to laterally isolate the electrically conductive material in the one or more depressions (Fig. 8).

Re claim 3, Wood et al. disclose further comprising etching the one or more depressions in the surface of the semiconductor substrate subsequent to ablating and prior to depositing the electrically conductive material over the surface of the semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 4, Wood et al. disclose wherein providing the semiconductor substrate comprises providing a silicon wafer (200) (Col. 3, lines 58-62).

Re claim 5, Wood et al. disclose wherein depositing the electrically conductive material (220) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a metal (Col. 5, lines 25-30).

Re claim 6, Wood et al. disclose wherein depositing the at least one of the metal over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a metal selected from the group consisting of aluminum, nickel, copper, gold and alloys thereof over the semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 11, Wood et al. disclose providing a semiconductor substrate (200); and substantially simultaneously ablating one depression (210) in a surface of the semiconductor substrate to define the at least one conductive element in the form of an elongated trace and ablating at least one conductive structure precursor in the semiconductor substrate comprising a via (210) extending into the semiconductor substrate transverse to the surface to define the at least one conductive structure (See fig. 2d).

Re claim 12, Wood et al. disclose depositing an electrically conductive material (220) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate and into the at least one depression and the at least another depression; and planarizing the electrically conductive material at least to the surface of the semiconductor substrate to laterally isolate the electrically conductive material in the at least one depression and the at least another depression.

Re claim 13, Wood et al. disclose further comprising etching the at least one depression and the at least another depression in the surface of the semiconductor substrate subsequent to

ablating and prior to depositing the electrically conductive material over the surface of the semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 14, Wood et al. disclose wherein providing the semiconductor substrate comprises providing a silicon wafer (200) (Col. 3, lines 58-62).

Re claim 15, Wood et al. disclose wherein depositing the electrically conductive material (220) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a metal (Col. 5, lines 25-30).

Re claim 16, Wood et al. disclose wherein depositing the at least on of the metal over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a metal selected from the group consisting of aluminum, nickel, copper, gold and alloys thereof over the semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 22, Wood et al. disclose providing a semiconductor substrate (200); and ablating one or more depressions (210) in a surface of a sidewall of the semiconductor substrate to define the at least one electrical connection.

Re claim 23, Wood et al. disclose depositing an electrically conductive material (220) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate and into the one or more depressions; and planarizing the electrically conductive material at least to the surface of the semiconductor substrate to laterally isolate the electrically conductive material in the one or more depressions.

Re claim 24, Wood et al. disclose further comprising etching the one or more depressions in the surface of the semiconductor substrate subsequent to ablating and prior to depositing the electrically conductive material over the surface of the semiconductor substrate.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, 10, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. as applied to claims 1, 3-6, 11-16 and 22-24 above, and further in view of Wenham et al. (6,429,037).

Wood et al. does not disclose wherein providing the semiconductor substrate comprises providing the semiconductor substrate and forming a film over at least a portion of the surface of the semiconductor substrate, and wherein ablating one or more depressions in the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises ablating the one or more depressions at least partially through the film.

Re claims 8, 9, 18, 20, 25 and 26, Wenham et al. disclose ablating one or more depressions in a surface of the semiconductor substrate (11) to define an electrical pathway; wherein providing the semiconductor substrate comprises providing the semiconductor substrate and forming a film (12) over at least a portion of the surface of the semiconductor substrate, and wherein ablating one or more depressions in the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises ablating the one or more depressions at least partially through the film (Col. 4, lines 20-35).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wood et al. and Wenham et al. to enable the film formation step of Wenham et al. to be performed in the process of Wood et al. because the film can be used as a mask for patterning where the contact is to be formed (Col. 4, lines 20-23).

Re claims 9, 20 and 26, Wenham et al. disclose depositing an electrically conductive material (19) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate and into the one or more depressions; and Wood et al. disclose planarizing the electrically conductive material at least to the surface of the semiconductor substrate to laterally isolate the electrically conductive material in the one or more depressions.

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wood et al. and Wenham et al. to enable the planarizing step of Wood et al. to be performed in the process of Wenham et al. because it will remove the excess metal leaving the depressions filled with inlaid metal forming the wiring.

Re claims 10, 21 and 27, Wood et al. disclose further comprising etching the one or more depressions in the surface of the semiconductor substrate subsequent to ablating and prior to depositing the electrically conductive material over the surface of the film.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. as applied to claims 1, 3-6, 11-16 and 22-24 above, and further in view of Sinha (2005/0064707).

Wood et al. do not disclose wherein depositing the at least one of the metal, the conductive polymer and the conductive nano-particles over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a conductive polymer selected from the group consisting of metal

filled silicon and an isotropically conductive or conductor-filled epoxy over the surface of the semiconductor substrate.

Sinha discloses ablating one or more depressions (118) in a semiconductor substrate and depositing a filler material (136) comprising a conductive polymer, metal-filled silicon, isotropically or anisotropically conductive adhesives and conductor filled epoxies (Page 5, paragraph [0044]).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wood et al. and Sinha to enable the filler material formation step of Wood et al. to be the same according to the teachings of Sinha because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed filler material formation step of Wood et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. See MPEP 2144.07.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. and Wenham et al. as applied to claims 8, 9, 10, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26 and 27 above, and further in view of Sinha (2005/0064707).

The combination of Wood et al. and Wenham et al. does not disclose wherein depositing the at least one of the metal, the conductive polymer and the conductive nano-particles over the surface of the semiconductor substrate comprises depositing a conductive polymer selected from the group consisting of metal filled silicon and an isotropically conductive or conductor-filled epoxy over the surface of the semiconductor substrate.

Sinha discloses ablating one or more depressions (118) in a semiconductor substrate and depositing a filler material (136) comprising a conductive polymer, metal-filled silicon, isotropically or anisotropically conductive adhesives and conductor filled epoxies (Page 5, paragraph [0044]).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wood et al., Wenham et al. and Sinha to enable the filler material formation step of Wood et al. to be the same according to the teachings of Sinha because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed filler material formation step of Wood et al. and Wenham et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. See MPEP 2144.07.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is 571-272-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Michelle Estrada  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2823

ME  
September 19, 2005